

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,565	11/04/2005	Norbert Kroth	1454.1603	6384
21171 STAAS & HA	7590 02/17/2010 I SEY I I P	EXAM	INER	
SUITE 700			TORRES, MARCOS L	
1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/528,565	KROTH ET AL.	
Review		Art Unit	
Review	GEORGE ENG	2617	
		-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re	equest for Review filed 13 October 2009.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request reason(s):</li> </ol>	is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include rea	en filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request, sons why a review is appropriate. led with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a response cont the mail date of the last Office communic	tinues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or fron ation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appe is required to submit an appeal brief in an brief will be reset to be one month from n running from the receipt of the notice of a	sals and Interferences — A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee eal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applica coordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an apper aniling this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt dat
☑ The panel has determined the s Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>14-16.18-27.29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from considerat	
	ence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conferent action will be mailed. No further action is	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>GEORGE ENG</u> .	(3)NICK CORSARO.
(2) MARCOS TORRES.	(4)
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20100209